



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,210	07/22/2003	Timothy R. Oury	39554-P001US	7056
7590 11/04/2005			EXAMINER	
Ross Spencer Garsson Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C. P.O. Box 50784 1201 Main Street Dallas, TX 75250-0784			LEGESSE, NINI F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,210	OURY, TIMOTHY R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nini F. Legesse	3711	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Nini F. Legesse. (3) ____.
- (2) Mr. Richard F. Frankeny. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 03 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 3, 4, and 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 4,665,565 to Odom and US 6,732,377 to Wilkinson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Nini F. Legesse
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the course of the interview, claims 3, 4, and 5 were discussed as they relate to the prior art of record. Mr. Frankeny explained in great detail how the invention functions differently than the applied prior art of record. Examiner has discussed that Applicant's invention is clearly understood but the claims in the instant application still read on the Odom reference. After discussing the prior art of record and the instant invention, Mr. Frankeny tentatively agreed to further define the claims. In conclusion, it was agreed that any amendment and argument that Applicant would provide in response to the non-final office action would be considered upon submission and Examiner will contact Applicant's representative if there is any allowable subject matter and or suggestions for claim language.